

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,069	1	10/17/2003	David M. Buzawa	39228-0018	8132
25213	7590	11/15/2004		EX	AMINER
		WHITE & MCAU	JOHNSO	JOHNSON III, HENRY M	
	275 MIDDLEFIELD ROAD MENLO PARK, CA 94025-3506			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739		

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/688,069	BUZAWA, DAVID M.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Henry M Johnson, III	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuts, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(S(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Au	igust 2004.						
·	action is non-final.	,					
	The state of the s						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-51 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·						
6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-9 is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are objected to.	· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
, _ ,		Examiner.					
	10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer, Note the attached Office	ACION OF IONN PTO-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Cther:						

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Species 1 as shown by embodiment 1 in Figure 1.

Species 2 as shown by embodiment 2 in Figure 2.

Species 3 as shown by embodiment 3 in Figure 3.

Species 4 as shown by embodiment'4 in Figure 4.

Species 5 as shown by embodiment 5 in Figure 5.

Species 6 as shown by embodiment 6 in Figure 6.

The election of Species 2, claims 1-9 with traverse in the response to the restriction requirement has been received and is acknowledged.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: on page 5, the laser delivery device, 20. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3739

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 6, paragraph 0028, the fiber switches are noted 18 and 118. The labels are the spot adjustment devices.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,254,112 to Sinofsky et al (Sinofsky). Sinofsky teaches a laser treatment device using two laser sources (Fig. 1, #s 42 & 43), an optical switch (Fig. 1, # 46) selectively connects either laser to the delivery optical fibers in a catheter so that energy from either laser can be applied selectively (Col. 4, lines 20-25). The delivery optical fibers are interpreted as the delivery device.

Regarding claim 3, the catheter is broadly interpreted as a variant of an endoscope.

Both are commonly defined as elongated tubes or shafts, with the primary difference being size.

Regarding claim 9, Sinofsky discloses the use of a Ho:YAG laser, a solid state laser (Col. 5, line 23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3739

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,254,112 to Sinofsky et al (Sinofsky). Sinofsky discloses a laser device with an optical switch but does not disclose the methodology of the switch mechanism. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to select any number of switching methodologies because Applicant has not disclosed that a specific method provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with any switching mechanism to effect the fiber selection. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use any number of switching mechanisms in the invention of Sinofsky to obtain the invention as specified in claim 8.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 4,477,159 to Mizuno et al teaches a device with an optical switch for selecting either a slit lamp adapter or ophthalmoscope as recipient of a laser beam.

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M Johnson, III whose telephone number is (703) 305-0910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry M. Johnson, III

Ratent Examine